To: USPTO

5

10

15

REMARKS

## Paragraphs 1-8 of the Office Action

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Canoy. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy in view of Bible. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy in view of Bible as above applied to further in view of Stultz et al. Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended and now includes the limitations of as filed claim 6. Based on the Examiner's comments, it is believed that amended claim 1 and all remaining claims depending from claim 1 are in condition for allowance.

The applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection.

20

## Paragraph 9 of the Office Action

Claim 8 is allowed.

5

## **CONCLUSION**

10 In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early consideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

15

Sean A. Kaufhold (Reg. No. 46,820)

P.O. Box 131447 Carlsbad, CA 92013

20 (760) 470-3368 FAX (760) 736-8449 Date: 12/12/09

To:USPTO